OWERTAL PROTECTION	
San Maria	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0810035 DATE: 06/27/2008       ARRIVE: 11:04am       DEPART: 12:05pm         FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES, INC.         FACILITY LOCATION:       1519 - 24th Avenue East         PALMETTO       34221         OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:       Cathy Chumbley       PHONE: (904)355-1781         CONTACT NAME:       Art Gadomski, Superintendent(941)809-2296       PHONE:         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:       10/7/2005 / 10/7/2010       (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))         Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ol>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?  [Yes ] No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? ⊠Yes □ No
<b>Test Reports</b> – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
<ol> <li>Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes No</li> </ol>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 appropri	ate box(es))	
1 Is this facility:	1) a stationary M.	2) a relevantable $\Box$ : or does it

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process: plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i> ),) <i>below.</i> )	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
	<ul> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?</li> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?</li></ul>	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
3	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
4	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
) u	use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

## PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ Yes No d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete

local program office?------ TYes No

notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or

Wendy D. Simmons

b

06/27/2008

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Mr. Gadomski state they replace the bags in the baghouse...all of them in October of 2007. They do monthly checks on the baghouse that includes checking the bags, emptying the baghouse and checking to see if it is in proper working order. The facility receives fuel shipments every other month and fuel records were supplied to me upon request. A sweeper comes once a month. The aggregate piles have a sprinkler system and piles were wet...sprinklers were running during my visit at the site. The piping to the baghouse was recently replaced in November 2007. Mr. Gadomski stated the facility only receives 4 or 5,000 gallons of fuel per month. The facility did have the missing VE testing reports on hand and provided a copy to me upon request. The facility also gave me a copy of the 2008 VE testing which had not been submitted to the Department yet since they had copies on site. Photos were taken during this visit even though the facility was not operating. Photos are attached to this inspection report. I issued a field warning notice for the missing 2007 VE testing. Upon return to the office, I found that we did not have the 2008 VE testing in the ARM's database, but the tests were submitted timely by Debra Carter of Arlington Environmental on 05/23/2008.